[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 19 May 2004 17:44
To: [Redacted]@lrni.gov.uk'

Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: personal data

Ηi

I promised to let you know what the ICO said about including the full address or NLPG UPRN in Land Registry's price paid data set. She faxed the reply so I've typed it below.

My questions were:

I should be grateful if you would clarify one or two points which are not clear to our corporate lawyer and to me.

Are you saying that the sale of the Land Registry dataset containing the following information - date of sale, type of property, postcode, UPRN, whether it is leasehold or freehold, new or old - does not offend the data protection act because the name of the owner is not included?

Would the sale of the following dataset offend the data protection act: date of sale, type of property, full address including postcode, UPRN, whether it is leasehold or freehold, new or old? Again this dataset does not contain the names of any individuals.

The reply was:

'As I explained the Court of Appeal in its judgement in DURANT considers that personal data is information which affects someone's privacy and suggests considering whether the information is biographical and whether the individual is the focus of the information, when deciding whether an individual's privacy would be affected.

It would not appear that in either of the datasets is the individual the focus of the information, rather it is the property to which the information relates.'

On the basis of this I shall be including the NLPG UPRN and the full address in the datasets.

I'm about to launch a new set of property price pages on our website and would like to include some information about house prices in NI. Would you be willing to discuss this with my colleague, Alison Snell who is designing the screens?

Look forward to talking or meeting again.

[Redacted]